

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,926		01/31/2001	Tomokazu Kakumoto	15162/03120	5322
24367	7590	7590 12/20/2004		EXAMINER	
SIDLEY	AUSTI	N BROWN & WO	YE, LIN		
717 NOR' SUITE 34		WOOD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS		5201	2615		
				DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/774,926	KAKUMOTO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lin Ye	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	ıly 2004.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	action is non-final.					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acception and request that any objection to the Graphicant may not request that any objection to the Graphicant drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Notice     Inform     Paper     S. Patent and Tr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
TOL-326 (R)	ov 1.04) Office Ac	tion Summary Par	t of Paner No /Mail Date 12132004				

. ;

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's election without traverse of the election of species Figures 5 and 7 filed on 7/30/04 is acknowledged. However the election is incorrect. It should be noted Figures 5 and 7 are two difference species, e.g., In Figure 1, a block diagram showing whole internal configuration of the area sensor; the Figures 2 and 3 are two difference species for showing a pixel configuration in the area sensor; and the Figures 5 and 7 are two difference species for showing a selector circuit in the area sensor. The applicant should indicate only one of the species group that is elected, such as Group A. Figures 1, 2 and 5 or Group B. Figures 1,2 and 7 or Group C. Figures 1,3 and 5 or Group D. Figures 1, 3 and 7.

The examiner requires that the applicant reelects the a single species from those specified as follows:

## Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species: figure 1; figure 8; figure 13; figure 17.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no independent claims are generic claims.

a. In the event **Species figure 1** is elected, **Specie figure 1** is subject to the following additional restriction requirement:

Art Unit: 2615

Species figure 1 contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct subspecies I of the claimed invention: Species: figure 2; figure 3.

Species figure 1 contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct subspecies II of the claimed invention: Species: figure 5; figure 7.

(e.g., The applicant should indicate only one of the species group that is elected, such as Group A. Figures 1, 2 and 5 or Group B. Figures 1,2 and 7 or Group C. Figures 1,3 and 5 or Group D. Figures 1, 3 and 7)

b. In the event **Species figure 8** is elected, **Specie figure 8** is subject to the following additional restriction requirement:

Species figure 8 contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct subspecies of the claimed invention: Species: figure 9; figure 10; figure 11; figure 12.

(e.g., The applicant should indicate only one of the species group that is elected, such as Group E. Figures 8 and 9 or Group F. Figures 8 and 10 or Group G. Figures 8 and 11 or Group H. Figures 8 and 12)

c. In the event **Specie figure 13** is elected, **Specie figure 13** is subject to the following additional restriction requirement:

Specie figure 13 contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct subspecies of the claimed invention: Species: figure 15; figure 16.

(e.g., The applicant should indicate only one of the species group that is elected, such as Group I. Figures 13 and 15 or Group J. Figures 13 and 16)

d. In the event Specie figure 17 is elected, Specie figure 17 is subject to the following additional restriction requirement:

Specie figure 17 contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct subspecies of the claimed invention: Species: figure 20; figure 21 (e.g., The applicant should indicate only one of the species group that is elected, such as Group I. Figures 17 and 20 or Group J. Figures 17 and 21)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species including single sub-species under it for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/774,926

Art Unit: 2615

Page 5

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lin Ye whose telephone number is (703) 305-3250. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew B Christensen can be reached on (703) 308-9644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lin Ye Examiner

Art Unit 2615

December 13, 2004